arXiv:hep-th/9609028v1 3 Sep 1996

TU-496
1996

High Momentum Behavior of Geometric Bremsstrahlung in the Expanding Universe

M.Hotta, H. Inoue, I. Joichi and M. Tanaka
Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-77, Japan

Abstract

We shall discuss various kinds of geometric bremsstrahlung processes in the spatially flat Robertson-Walker universe. Despite that the temperature of the universe is much higher than particle masses and the Hubble parameter, the transition probability of these processes do not vanish. It is also pointed out that explicit forms of the probability possess a new duality with respect to scale factor of background geometry.

1. Introduction

Particles in the early universe undergo severe redshift as a result of the cosmic expansion and get decelerated extraordinarily in the comoving coordinates. Consequently radiation or massless particles may be emitted from the decelerated particle. This process induced by the geometry of the universe is regarded as a kind of bremsstrahlung. Thus we call it geometric bremsstrahlung. This effect may bring about many sorts of decay and emission process, which are prohibited kinematically in the flat spacetime.

Despite of its ease to recognize such a process in the classical mechanical meaning, there is a nontrivial aspect on existence of quantum geometric bremsstrahlung. Temperature in many interesting situations of the early universe is much higher than particle masses and the Hubble parameter. Since momentum of the particle is comparable with the temperature, one might naively think that we can neglect all the mass parameters even in calculation of the transition probabilities. Hence it might be expected that the probability of the process is equal to that calculated in massless theories in the flat spacetime, thus exactly zero. However this naive expectation is turned out to be wrong by careful analysis.

In [1], the first precise analysis has been performed in the four dimensional Robertson-Walker universe. They shows that even in a high momentum limit there remains the nonvanishing probability of photon emission via the geometric bremsstrahlung.

In this paper we shall give an extended analysis on several kinds of processes of the geometric bremsstrahlung. It includes analyses on the ϕ^3 theory in arbitrary dimensional spacetimes, the theory with Yukawa interaction and the massive vector field theory. It will be shown that the high momentum limit, or the high temperature limit, does not terminate the geometric bremsstrahlung process in such a rather wide class of interactions and in arbitrary dimension. It is also stressed that a new type of duality can be found in the forms of the transition probabilities for renormalizable interactions.

In section 2 we introduce the spatially flat Robertson-Walker universe with past and future asymptotic flat regions and explain why we especially consider it. In section 3 we shall give a review on free fields in our model of the universe. In section 4 the geometric bremsstrahlung induced by the Yukawa interaction is analyzed in detail. In section 5 the ϕ^3 theory in arbitrary dimensional spacetimes is surveyed. In section 6 we give explicit forms of transition probability of the process including a massive vector field.

2. Robertson-Walker Universe with Past and Future Minkowskian Regions

Here let us comment a little bit on our model of the Robertson-Walker universe. In this paper only the spatially flat model is argued, but we believe that our results will be extended to open and closed models. The geometry of the spacetime is expressed by a metric tensor whose form is written as $g_{\mu\nu} = a(t)^2\eta_{\mu\nu}$, where $a(t)$ is a scale factor function and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowskian metric. The argument of the scale factor, t, is conformal time and proper time τ can be defined by $d\tau = a(t)dt$. From the metric the Christoffel symbol is easily manipulated as follows.

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\ln a + \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}\partial_{\beta}\ln a - \eta_{\beta\gamma}\partial^{\alpha}\ln a, \tag{1}$$

where $\partial^{\alpha} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\beta}$. This yields an explicit form of the scalar curvature

$$R = -\frac{1}{a^2}\left[2(n-1)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\ln a + (n-1)(n-2)\left(\frac{d}{dt}\ln a\right)^2\right], \tag{2}$$

where n is the dimension of the spacetime. The Hubble parameter is defined as usual by the scale factor as

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{da}{dt}.$$

In later sections we must take account of interaction in the expanding universe. Then well-defined asymptotic free field is required to construct S matrix elements rigorously. However in general spacetimes the construction of asymptotic fields often encounters some difficulties [3].

For example the universe accompanied with the big-bang possesses a definite birth time and an initial singularity. Near at the birth time it needs more pieces of information (maybe quantum gravity) to specify how to define asymptotic in-field.

The simplest prescription to avoid such problems is to restrict ourselves on analysis in the universe equipped with Minkowskian past and future regions. Clearly this enables us to define both asymptotic in- and out- fields just like those in the flat spacetime. It should be emphasized that physically meaningful results derived from this model must be independent of artificial detail of the model, that is, how the universe gets into and out of the expanding era. It will be found that results obtained later satisfy this criterion.

Owing to appearance of the asymptotic flat regions the scale factor must satisfy two constraints. By virtue of the time rescaling invariance one of them is expressed, losing no generality, as

$$a(t \sim \infty) = 1.$$

Now let b denote ratio of initial scale factor to final one. Then another constraint is written as

$$a(t \sim -\infty) = b.$$

It is worth grasping qualitative behavior of Fourier components of $a(t)^n$,

$$F_n(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt a(t)^n e^{i\omega t}.$$

Now let $\omega_{min}^{(n)} < \omega_1^{(n)} < \omega_2^{(n)} < \cdots < \omega_{max}^{(n)}$ denote typical frequencies characterizing detailed evolution of $a(t)^n$ with the following condition satisfied.

$$F_n(\omega_i^{(n)}) \sim O(1).$$

It is natural to think that all the $\omega_i^{(n)}$ are of order of the Hubble parameter in the expansion era.

For $\omega \ll \omega_{min}^{(n)}$ it can be shown that

$$F_n(\omega \sim 0) \sim \frac{i}{\omega}(1 - b^n). \tag{3}$$

Note that this relation (3) holds for rather arbitrary cosmic evolution.

On the other hand for $\omega \gg \omega_{max}^{(n)}$ F_n behaves as

$$F_n(\omega \sim \infty) \sim 0.$$

3. Free Fields in the Robertson-Walker Universe

In this section free fields in the universe introduced in section 2 are reviewed for later convenience.

Let us first review free scalar field in the expanding universe. The action with the conformal coupling term is written as

$$S_{scalar} = \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(m^2 - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R \right) \phi^2 \right]. \tag{4}$$

Next let us change the field variable ϕ into $\tilde{\phi} = a(t)^{n/2-1}\phi$. Then the action is reduced into

$$S_{scalar} = \int d^n x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\partial \tilde{\phi} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (ma(t))^2 \tilde{\phi}^2 \right]. \tag{5}$$

This is just a free field action with time dependent mass $ma(t)$ in the flat spacetime.

Boundary conditions for asymptotic fields can be described in terms of the rescaled field $\tilde{\phi}$. It is worthwhile to point out that spatially flat spacetimes possess isometry in the spatial section. Consequently the Fourier transformation can be used in equation of motion derived from eqn (5). Therefore what we need is a solution which form is such that

$$\tilde{\phi}(t, \vec{x}) = u_{\vec{p}}(t) e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\vec{p}$ is conserved conformal momentum. Its related physical momentum is expressed as $\vec{p}_{phys} = \vec{p}/a(t)$. It is easily shown that this $u_{\vec{p}}$ satisfies a Schrödinger-type equation,

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - m^2 a(t)^2 \right] u_{\vec{p}} = p^2 u_{\vec{p}}, \tag{7}$$

where $p = |\vec{p}|$. The in-mode function of this equation is specified with the following boundary condition,

$$u^{in}_{\vec{p}}(t \sim -\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n-1} 2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2 b^2}}} e^{-it\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, the out-mode function satisfies another boundary condition,

$$u_{\vec{p}}^{out}(t \sim \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n-1} 2\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}} e^{-it\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}. \tag{9}$$

The in-(out-) mode function may have reflection wave terms in $t \to \infty (t \to -\infty)$ induced by the nontrivial potential term, $-m^2 a(t)^2$. Usually such existence of the reflection wave means particle creation from the vacuum state in the field theoretical context. Typical energy of the created particle is of order of the typical Hubble parameter. Hence the energy can be thought much smaller than temperature of the universe. This effect is of some interest, however it is not our target in this report. In fact we shall concentrate our attention on particles with high momentum nearly equal to the temperature. Consequently the reflection wave term is negligible in the following argument.

Now we can also exhibit results for a soluble example with a step scale factor $a(t) = b\Theta(-t) + \Theta(t)$. For example analytic form of the in-mode function is expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\vec{p}}^{in}(t<0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n-1} 2\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}} e^{-it\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}, \\ u_{\vec{p}}^{in}(t>0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n-1} 2\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}} \left[A e^{-it\sqrt{p^2+m^2}} + B e^{it\sqrt{p^2+m^2}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{p^2+m^2b^2}{p^2+m^2}}\right), \tag{10}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{p^2+m^2b^2}{p^2+m^2}}\right). \tag{11}$$

This will be used in section 4. As it should be, the reflection coefficient B vanishes in $p \to \infty$.

Here we also comment on the WKB amplitudes of eqn(7). When a high momentum condition; $p^3 \gg m^2 a\frac{da}{dt}$ or $p_{phys}^3 \gg m^2 H$ is satisfied, the WKB approximation is validated enough in eqn(7). Then reflection coefficient can be neglected and both in- and out-mode functions are written in the same form as follows.

$$u_{\vec{p}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E(p,t)}} e^{-i\int dt E(p,t)}, \tag{12}$$

where $E(p,t) = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2 a(t)^2}$. In the early universe situation, this form gives us reliable estimation of the wavefunction.

Next let us review free propagation of spinor field. Writing down the action needs vielbein e^a_μ related with the metric tensor like $g_{\mu\nu} = e^a_\mu e_{a\nu}$. For the spatially flat Robertson-Walker universe the vielbein reads

$$e^a_\mu = a(t)\delta^a_\mu, \quad e^\mu_a = a(t)^{-1}\delta^\mu_a. \tag{13}$$

Then spin connection is obtained from this vielbein such that

$$\omega^{ab}_\mu = e^a_\lambda \nabla_\mu e^{b\lambda} = \delta^a_\mu \partial^b \ln a - \delta^b_\mu \partial^a \ln a. \tag{14}$$

The action of free spinor field reads

$$S_{spinor} = \int d^n x \det[e^a_\mu] \left[\bar{\Psi} i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \Psi - m\bar{\Psi}\Psi \right], \tag{15}$$

where

$$\gamma^\mu = e^\mu_a \gamma^a, \tag{16}$$

$$\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab}, \tag{17}$$

$$\nabla_\mu \Psi = \left(\partial_\mu - \frac{i}{4}\omega^{ab}_\mu \sigma_{ab} \right) \Psi, \tag{18}$$

$$\sigma_{ab} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_a, \gamma_b]. \tag{19}$$

Subsequently by defining a rescale field $\tilde{\Psi} = a^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Psi$ we rewrite the action as

$$S_{spinor} = \int d^n x \left[\bar{\tilde{\Psi}} i\gamma^a \partial_a \tilde{\Psi} - ma(t)\bar{\tilde{\Psi}}\tilde{\Psi} \right] \tag{20}$$

where we have used the relations; $\gamma^a\gamma_a = n$ and $\gamma^a\gamma^b\gamma_a = (2-n)\gamma^b$. Thus, like the scalar field, the theory can be also reduced into just a free theory with time dependent mass in the flat spacetimes.

Next let us introduce mode functions more explicitly for $n = 4$. Here we adopt the standard representation for the gamma matrices,

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Also we introduce $\alpha_{h,\vec{p}}$ and $\beta_{h,\vec{p}}$ defined by the following equations,

$$\tilde{\Psi} = e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{h,\vec{p}}(t)\xi(h,\vec{p}) \\ \beta_{h,\vec{p}}(t)\xi(h,\vec{p}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}\xi(h,\vec{p}) = h|\vec{p}|\xi(h,\vec{p}), \tag{22}$$

where $h = \pm 1$ and $h/2$ is helicity of the particle. Then $\alpha_{h,\vec{p}}$ and $\beta_{h,\vec{p}}$ satisfy equations such that

$$\beta_{h,\vec{p}}(t) = \frac{1}{h|\vec{p}|}\left[i\frac{d}{dt} - ma(t)\right]\alpha_{h,\vec{p}}(t), \tag{23}$$

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + |\vec{p}|^2 + m^2 a(t)^2 + im\frac{d}{dt}a\right]\alpha_{h,\vec{p}}(t) = 0. \tag{24}$$

The in- and out- mode functions for $\alpha_{h,\vec{p}}$ are specified by imposing boundary conditions,

$$\alpha^{in(\pm)}_{h,\vec{p}}(t\sim-\infty) = \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}\pm mb}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}} e^{\mp it\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}, \tag{25}$$

$$\alpha^{out(\pm)}_{h,\vec{p}}(t\sim\infty) = \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{p^2+m^2}\pm m}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}} e^{\mp it\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}, \tag{26}$$

where the sign $+(-)$ in $\alpha^{(\pm)}$ corresponds to particle(antiparticle) wavefunction.

For a step evolution like $a(t) = b\Theta(-t) + \Theta(t)$, exact analysis is possible and for example analytic $in(+)$-mode function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{in(+)}_{h,\vec{p}}(t<0) &= \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}+mb}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}} e^{-it\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}} \\ \alpha^{in(+)}_{h,\vec{p}}(t>0) &= \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}+mb}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{p^2+m^2b^2}}} \left[A_f e^{-it\sqrt{p^2+m^2}} + B_f e^{it\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}\right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_f = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{p^2+m^2b^2}{p^2+m^2}}+\frac{m(1-b)}{\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}\right), \tag{27}$$

$$B_f = \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{p^2+m^2b^2}{p^2+m^2}}-\frac{m(1-b)}{\sqrt{p^2+m^2}}\right). \tag{28}$$

For the spinor field the WKB approximation also can be justified when $p \gg ma$ or $p_{phys} \gg m$. Then the following amplitude is obtained.

$$\alpha^{(\pm)}_{h,\vec{p}}(t) \sim \frac{\sqrt{p \pm ma}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p}} e^{\mp i(pt+\frac{m^2}{2p}\int dta(t)^2)}. \tag{29}$$

It will be also useful in the later sections to introduce U and V spinors corresponding to particle and antiparticle as follows.

$$U(h,\vec{p},a) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{E(p,a(t))+ma}\xi(h,\vec{p}) \\ h\sqrt{E(p,a(t))-ma}\xi(h,\vec{p}) \end{array}\right], \quad V(h,\vec{p},a) = \left[\begin{array}{c} -h\sqrt{E(p,a(t))-ma}\eta(h,\vec{p}) \\ \sqrt{E(p,a(t))+ma}\eta(h,\vec{p}) \end{array}\right]$$

where $E(\vec{p},a(t)) = \sqrt{p^2+m^2a(t)^2}$ and $\eta(h,\vec{p}) = -i\sigma^2\xi^*(h,\vec{p})$. Using a polar parametrization such that

$$\vec{p} = p(\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta), \tag{30}$$

the explicit forms of ξ and η are written down as follows.

$$\xi(1,\vec{p}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} e^{-i\phi/2}\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ e^{i\phi/2}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{array}\right], \quad \xi(-1,\vec{p}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} -e^{-i\phi/2}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ e^{i\phi/2}\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{array}\right], \tag{31}$$

$$\eta(1,\vec{p}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} -e^{-i\phi/2}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ e^{i\phi/2}\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{array}\right], \quad \eta(-1,\vec{p}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} -e^{-i\phi/2}\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ -e^{i\phi/2}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{array}\right]. \tag{32}$$

Next let us give a review of massive vector field in the four dimension. The original equation of motion is written as follows.

$$\nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} + m^2 A_\nu = 0. \tag{33}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$.
Now using the conformal flatness $g_{\mu\nu} = a(t)^2 \eta_{\mu\nu}$, the equation is rewritten as

$$\left[\partial^2 + m^2 a^2\right] A_\mu - \partial_\mu (\partial A) = 0. \tag{34}$$

The transverse wave solution can be introduced such that

$$\left[A_\mu^{(h)}\right] = e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \tilde{u}_{\vec{p}}^{(h)}(t) \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\vec{n}^{(h)} \end{array} \right], \tag{35}$$

where $h = \pm 1$ and $\vec{n}^{(h)}$ is a unit vector satisfying $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{n}^{(h)} = 0$. The equation of motion requires

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + p^2 + m^2 a(t)^2\right] \tilde{u}_{\vec{p}}^{(h)}(t) = 0.$$

On the other hand the form of longitudinal wave solution is

$$\left[A_\mu^{(L)}\right] = e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha^{(L)}(t) \\ -\frac{\vec{p}}{p}\beta^{(L)}(t) \end{array} \right]. \tag{36}$$

Time dependent factors $\alpha^{(L)}$ and $\beta^{(L)}$ need to satisfy

$$\alpha^{(L)} = \frac{ip}{p^2 + m^2 a(t)^2} \frac{d\beta^{(L)}}{dt}. \tag{37}$$

Rescaling such that

$$\beta^{(L)} = \sqrt{\frac{p^2 + m^2 a^2}{m^2 a^2}} \tilde{\beta}, \tag{38}$$

the following Schrödinger type equation also should hold,

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + p^2 + m^2 a^2 + \frac{3m^2 p^2}{(p^2 + m^2 a^2)^2} \left(\frac{da}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{p^2}{p^2 + m^2 a^2} \frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2}\right] \tilde{\beta}(t) = 0.$$

In high momentum situation,$p \gg ma, \ \ \frac{da}{adt}$, the WKB solution takes the following form.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(L)} &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p}} \frac{p}{ma} \left(1 - \frac{i}{pa}\frac{da}{dt} + O(p^{-2})\right) e^{-i\int dt \left[p + \frac{m^2 a^2}{2p} - \frac{1}{2pa}\frac{d^2 a}{dt^2}\right]} \\ \beta^{(L)} &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p}} \frac{p}{ma} (1 + O(p^{-2})) e^{-i\int dt \left[p + \frac{m^2 a^2}{2p} - \frac{1}{2pa}\frac{d^2 a}{dt^2}\right]}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Thus the longitudinal component is estimated in the high momentum limit as

$$A_\mu^{(L)} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p}} \left[i\partial_\mu \Lambda - \delta_{0\mu} \frac{ma}{p} \exp\left(i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} - i\int dt \left(p + \frac{m^2a^2}{2p} - \frac{1}{2pa}\frac{d^2a}{dt^2} \right) \right] \right), \tag{40}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{ma} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} - i\int dt \left[p + \frac{m^2a^2}{2p} - \frac{1}{2pa}\frac{d^2a}{dt^2} \right]}.$$

These solutions will be used in section 5 and 6.

4. Yukawa Interaction in the Expanding Universe

In this section we shall discuss high energy limit of transition probabilities via Yukawa interaction in the four dimensional universe. The interaction is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{Yukawa} &= \lambda \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\,\phi(\bar{\Psi}_1\Psi_2 + \bar{\Psi}_2\Psi_1) \\ &= \lambda \int d^4x \tilde{\phi}(\bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_1\tilde{\Psi}_2 + \bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_2\tilde{\Psi}_1) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where $\Psi_1(\Psi_2)$ is a spinor field with mass $m_1(m_2)$ and ϕ is a scalar field with mass μ. The tilded fields are rescaled ones in section 2.

This action generates several types of processes. For a Ψ_1 particle with conformal momentum $\vec{p}$ and helicity $1/2$ to decay into a Ψ_2 particle with $\vec{q}$ and a ϕ particle with $\vec{k}$, the amplitude is written down as follows.

$$Amp_{\Psi_1} = -i\lambda \int d^4x e^{i(\vec{p}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})\cdot\vec{x}} u_{\vec{k}}^{out*}(t) \bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_2^{out}(h_f, \vec{q}, t) \tilde{\Psi}_1^{in}(h_i = 1, \vec{p}, t) \tag{42}$$

where $u_{\vec{k}}^{out}(t)$ is an out-mode function of $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}^{in/out}$ is an in-/out- mode function of $\tilde{\Psi}$ and $h_f/2$ is helicity of the created spinor particle. In eqn(42), we have omitted contribution from Bogoliubov coefficients of vacuum polarization which is suppressed in the high temperature limit . In the following argument we assume that $m_1 < m_2 + \mu$, so no decay happens in the flat spacetime limit. Even in the expanding spacetime the decay is prohibited especially in the past and future flat regions. Thus the decay is physically interpreted to occur only in the era of the cosmic expansion.

We expect that this assumption, $m_1 < m_2 + \mu$, is not essential for our results when the decaytime we will obtain is much shorter than that calculated ordinarily in the flat spacetimes, $E_1/(m_1\Gamma_{flat})$.

In the expanding universe its scale factor is actually time dependent. Hence the meaning of probability *per unit time* seems ambiguous. Therefore we adopt transition probability itself to get a clear interpretation. The transition probability is defined by

$$\sum |S|^2 = \int \frac{L^3 d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{L^3 d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{N^{out} N^{in} N_\phi} |Amp_{\Psi_1}|^2, \tag{43}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N^{in/out} &= \int_{L^3} dx^3 \ \bar{\tilde{\Psi}}^{in/out} \gamma^0 \tilde{\Psi}^{in/out}, && (44) \\ N_\phi &= \int_{L^3} dx^3 i \left(u^*_{\vec{k}} \partial_t u_{\vec{k}} - \partial_t u^*_{\vec{k}} u_{\vec{k}} \right). && (45) \end{aligned}$$

Now our purpose is to analyze the high momentum limit ($p \to \infty$) of $\sum |S|^2$. As a warm up, let us first calculate a simple case with the scale factor $a(t) = b\Theta(-t) + \Theta(t)$. It is possible to calculate the limit straightforwardly. Substituting explicit form of mode functions into the definition of $\sum |S|^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & W^{(step)}_{\Psi_1}(1/2 \to h_f/2) = \lim_{p\to\infty} \sum |S|^2 \\ = \ & \lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda^2}{64\pi^3} \int d^3q \\ & \times \left| \int_0^\infty dt \frac{e^{-it\left(\sqrt{p^2+m_1^2} - \sqrt{q^2+m_2^2} - \sqrt{(\vec{p}-\vec{q})^2+\mu^2}\right)}}{[(p^2+m_1^2)(q^2+m_2^2)((\vec{p}-\vec{q})^2+\mu^2)]^{1/4}} \bar{U}_2(h_f, \vec{q}, a=1) U_1(1, \vec{p}, a=1) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \frac{e^{-it\left(\sqrt{p^2+m_1^2b^2} - \sqrt{q^2+m_2^2b^2} - \sqrt{(\vec{p}-\vec{q})^2+\mu^2b^2}\right)}}{[(p^2+m_1^2b^2)(q^2+m_2^2b^2)((\vec{p}-\vec{q})^2+\mu^2b^2)]^{1/4}} \bar{U}_2(h_f, \vec{q}, a=b) U_1(1, \vec{p}, a=b) \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the reflection component of the wavefunction vanishes in the limit. After some tedious manipulation final analytic forms of W turns out to be as follows.

$$W^{(step)}_{\Psi_1}(1/2 \to h_f = -1/2) = \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^2}\left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2} - 2\right], \tag{46}$$

$$W^{(step)}_{\Psi_1}(1/2 \to h_f = 1/2) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi^2}\left[1 + \frac{b\ln b^2}{1-b^2}\right] F(m_1, m_2, \mu), \tag{47}$$

where

$$F(m_1, m_2, \mu) = \int_0^1 dy \frac{(1-y)(m_1 y + m_2)^2}{\mu^2 y + m_2^2(1-y) - m_1^2 y(1-y)}. \tag{48}$$

Next we try to obtain W for arbitrary $a(t)$ except $a(\infty) = 1$ and $a(-\infty) = b$. Our strategy comes from the fact that approximate energy conservation holds for high momentum reactions as seen below. Now we concentrate on manipulating contribution from the phase space region where $p \gg ma$ and q and $k = |\vec{p} - \vec{q}|$ are of order of p. As a result of this restriction the WKB amplitude can be justified for both initial and final mode functions. Consequently W is turned out to possess a factor like

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(h_f) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \bar{U}_2(h_f, \vec{q}, a(t)) U_1(1, \vec{p}, a(t)) \qquad (49)\\ &\times \frac{\exp\left[i\int dt\left(\sqrt{q^2 + m_2^2 a(t)^2} + \sqrt{(\vec{p}-\vec{q})^2 + \mu^2 a(t)^2} - \sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2 a(t)^2}\right)\right]}{\left[(p^2 + m_1^2 a(t)^2)(q^2 + m_2^2 a(t)^2)((\vec{p}-\vec{q})^2 + \mu^2 a(t)^2)\right]^{1/4}}.\end{aligned}$$

It is very suggestive for $h_f = 1$ to roughly estimate it by neglecting masses as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(h_f = 1) &\sim f(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \times \int dt e^{it(q + |\vec{p}-\vec{q}| - p)}\\ &= f(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \times (2\pi)^3 \delta(q + |\vec{p}-\vec{q}| - p).\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Therefore the following relation must be satisfied at least to this leading order.

$$p \sim q + |\vec{p} - \vec{q}| = q + \sqrt{(p-q)^2 + 2pq(1 - \cos\theta)} \tag{51}$$

where $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} = pq \cos\theta$. This is approximate energy conservation law and an important clue for us to calculate W_{Ψ_1}. From this "conservation" law only phase space region where $0 < q < p$ and $\theta \sim 0$ hold can contribute to W_{Ψ_1}. This fact tempts us to introduce a tiny but not specified constant $\theta_o \ll 1$ and a small constant ϵ satisfying $m_1,\ m_2,\ \mu \ll p\epsilon \ll p$. Now consider only a portion of the phase space with $p\epsilon \leq q \leq p(1-\epsilon)$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_o$. Consequently the following expansions are valid;

$$\begin{aligned} &\sin\theta \sim \theta, \\ &1 - \cos\theta \sim \frac{1}{2}\theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore as a result of the high momentum limit, we can expand

$$\sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2 a^2} \sim p + \frac{m_1^2 a^2}{2p}, \tag{52}$$

$$\sqrt{q^2 + m_2^2 a^2} \sim q + \frac{m_2^2 a^2}{2q}, \tag{53}$$

$$\sqrt{(\vec{p} - \vec{q})^2 + \mu^2 a^2} \sim p - q + \frac{pq\theta^2 + \mu^2 a^2}{2(p-q)}. \tag{54}$$

From these useful expressions, we obtain for arbitrary $a(t)$

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \sum |S(h_f = 1)|^2 = W_{\Psi_1}(h_f = 1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Psi_1} &= \lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^3} \int_{p\epsilon}^{p(1-\epsilon)} dq \frac{q^2}{(p-q)} \int_0^{\theta_o} d\theta \theta^3 \\ &\times \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-i\int_0^t dt \left(\frac{m_1^2 a(t)^2}{2p} - \frac{m_2^2 a(t)^2}{2q} - \frac{pq\theta^2 + \mu^2 a(t)^2}{2(p-q)} \right)} \right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Next change the integral variables as follows.

$$q = py, \tag{55}$$

$$\theta = \frac{m_1}{p} z, \tag{56}$$

$$t = \frac{2p}{m_1^2} \eta. \tag{57}$$

Then p dependence appears only in the upper bound of z and the argument of the scale factor. In fact the result is expressed such that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Psi_1}(1/2 \to 1/2) &= \lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda^2 m_1^2}{8\pi^2} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} dy \frac{y^2}{1-y} \int_0^{\theta_o \frac{p}{m_1}} dz z^3 \\ &\quad \times \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \exp\left[\frac{1}{i} \int_0^{\eta} d\eta \left(a^2 - \frac{m_2^2 a^2}{m_1^2 y} - \frac{m_1^2 y z^2 + \mu^2 a^2}{m_1^2 (1-y)} \right) \right] \right|^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

To evaluate W_{Ψ_1} the following relation is worth proving.

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} a = \lim_{p\to\infty} a\left(\frac{2p\eta}{m_1^2}\right) = b\Theta(-\eta) + \Theta(\eta). \tag{59}$$

Actually in the limit $\frac{2p\eta}{m_1^2}$ approaches ∞ when $\eta > 0$, while $-\infty$ when $\eta < 0$. From the fact that $a(\infty) = 1$ and $a(-\infty) = b$ one can derive easily the eqn (59). By substituting (59) into (58) we can proceed with calculation of W_{Ψ_1}.

Because we take $p \to \infty$, $\theta_o p/m$ can be replaced into ∞. Hence no dependence of θ_o remains in the final form of W_{Ψ_1}. Moreover we can take $\epsilon \to 0$ because of no appearance of infra-red divergence in the integral. These replacements yield

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\Psi_1}(1/2 \to 1/2) \\ = \; & \frac{\lambda^2 m_1^2}{8\pi^2} \int_0^1 dy (1-y) \int_0^{\infty} dz z^3 \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{z^2 + A(m_1; m_2, \mu, y)} - \frac{1}{z^2 + b^2 A(m_1; m_2, \mu, y)} \right]^2 , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(m_1; m_2, \mu, y) = \frac{1}{y^2}\left[\frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2}(1-y) + \frac{\mu^2}{m_1^2} y - y(1-y) \right]. \tag{60}$$

After integrations with respect to y and z, the right hand side ends up to the same form of $W^{(step)}$.

$$W_{\Psi_1}(h_f = 1) = W_{\Psi_1}^{(step)}(h_f = 1). \tag{61}$$

It is a prominent feature that this relation (61) holds for arbitrary $a(t)$. This property implies the existence of notable universality of $W_{\Psi_1}(h_f = 1)$.

For $h_f = -1$ the story changes a little bit. The delta factor in eqn(49) can be estimated roughly as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(h_f = -1) &\sim f'(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \times \int dt a(t) e^{it(q+|\vec{p}-\vec{q}|-p)} && (62)\\ &\sim f'(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) F_1(\Delta E), && (63)\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta E = q + |\vec{p} - \vec{q}| - p$ and

$$F_1(\Delta E) = \int dt a(t) e^{it\Delta E}.$$

This scale factor contribution comes from

$$\bar{U}_2(-1, \vec{q}, a(t)) U_1(1, \vec{p}, a(t)) \sim \sqrt{pq} \left(\frac{m_1}{p} + \frac{m_2}{q} \right) a(t). \tag{64}$$

If $0 < q < p$ and $\theta \ll 1$ do not hold, $\Delta E \sim O(p) \gg \omega^{(1)}_{max}$ is inevitably satisfied. Therefore, as mentioned in the section 2, $F_1 \sim 0$ holds. Thus approximate energy conservation becomes valid again.

$$\begin{aligned}&0 < q < p, && (65)\\ &\theta \sim 0. && (66)\end{aligned}$$

In this case it should be noticed that θ is not so large that ΔE becomes larger than $\omega^{(1)}_{max}$. Only phase space region with

$$\theta < \theta_o = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^{(1)}_{max}}{p}} \tag{67}$$

contributes to W_{Ψ_1}. Estimation of W_{Ψ_1} for $h_f = -1$ is also possible taking account of eqn(67). Thanks to the high momentum limit the scale factor can be replaced the step one as $a(t) = b\Theta(-t) + \Theta(t)$. After manipulation we can show

$$W_{\Psi_1}(h_f = -1) = W^{(step)}_{\Psi_1}(h_f = -1).$$

Consequently eqns (46) and (47) are turned out be correct for arbitrary evolution of $a(t)$. Eqn(59) is the keypoint giving birth of the universality.

However in the actual universe p does not take infinite value but finite and is of order of the temperature. It should be noticed for large but finite value of p that the replacement of $a(t)$ into the step evolution is valid only when a high momentum condition like

$$\omega_{min} > \frac{m^2}{p} \tag{68}$$

is satisfied. Here ω_{min} denotes the lowest typical frequency of $a(t)$ and is assumed of the same order of minimum value of the Hubble parameter. The condition (68) enables us to regard $a(t)$ as $b\Theta(-t) + \Theta(t)$. The inequality (68) can be also rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{m} > \left[\frac{m}{p}\right] \frac{1}{\omega_{min}}, \tag{69}$$

where $1/m$ represents Compton length and $1/\omega_{min}$ means maximum radius of the Hubble horizon. The factor $m/p \sim m/E$ can be interpreted as Lorentz contraction factor. Imagine a particle with Compton length $1/m$ running with high momentum p in the expanding universe. Also suppose that the universe begins to expand when the particle reaches a point A and that the universe ceases to expand when the particle arrives at a point B. Length between A and B can be naively considered of order of the maximum Hubble horizon ($\sim 1/\omega_{min}$). Then the particle can get excitation energy from the gravitational field only while running between A and B. Meanwhile getting p larger, the length between A and B becomes Lorentz contracted as $[m/p](1/\omega_{min})$ from the particle's viewpoint. Thus when relation in eqn(69) holds the particle cannot see details of the way how the universe has expanded. Consequently this yields the above universality.

The universality is actually very powerful tool to estimate the high momentum limit of the transition probability. It can be shown that the universality also appear in other kinds of interactions and in any dimension of the spacetime. However it should be noted that we must take some care of its treatment when the probability grows up to infinity as $p \to \infty$. Using the universality we can naively manipulate the limit explicitly. However, it might diverge. In the later section such a phenomenon can be observed explicitly and then we should cut p off at a certain large value of order of the temperature of the universe.

It is a rather straightforward application to estimate ϕ particle decay probability into a Ψ_1 particle with helicity h_1 and a Ψ_2 particle with helicity h_2. Here we must assume that $\mu < m_1+m_2$ in order to suppress the transition in the flat spacetime. The final forms of the transition probability are listed as follows.

$$W_\phi(h_1 = 1, h_2 = 1) = \frac{\lambda^2}{16\pi^2}\left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2} - 2\right], \tag{70}$$

$$W_\phi(h_1 = 1, h_2 = -1) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi^2}\left(1 + \frac{b}{1-b^2}\ln b^2\right) G(\mu, m_1, m_2), \tag{71}$$

where

$$G(\mu, m_1, m_2) = \int_0^1 dy \frac{m_1^2(1-y)^2 - 2m_1m_2y(1-y) + m_2^2y^2}{m_1^2(1-y) + m_2^2y - \mu^2y(1-y)}. \tag{72}$$

Astonishingly it is found that all of these forms of the probabilities possess a certain kind of dual symmetry. Interchanging b into $1/b$ keeps exactly the forms in eqns (46), (47), (70), (71). This means that physics of the expanding universe is related with that of the contracting universe. Note that the symmetry is not merely time reversal one because initial one particle decays two particles for both cases. This might be related with a kind of hidden duality.

Finally we discuss decay rate of the particles. For example let consider decay of Ψ_1 particle. The decay is expected to occur when $W_{\Psi_1} \sim 1$. For small b, W_{Ψ_1} behaves just as

$$W_{\Psi_1} \sim \frac{N^*\lambda^2}{32\pi^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2}, \tag{73}$$

where N^* is the number of final modes which contribute to the decay. Thus when the universe expands so enough that

$$\frac{a_f}{a_i} = \frac{1}{b} \sim e^{\frac{16\pi^2}{N^*\lambda^2}} \tag{74}$$

is satisfied, the particle gets decayed. Assuming the radiation dominant universe, b can be as follows.

$$b = \frac{a_i}{a_f} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_f}}. \tag{75}$$

Consequently we obtain a decay rate;

$$\Gamma_f = \frac{1}{\tau_f} \sim 2e^{-\frac{32\pi^2}{N^* \lambda^2}} H_i \tag{76}$$

where $H_i = 1/2\tau_i$ is the Hubble parameter at production time of the Ψ_1 particle.

5. Decay due to Three Point Vertex in Arbitrary Dimension

The geometric bremsstrahlung process is naturally expected to occur in arbitrary dimensional spacetimes. Furthermore the existence is not considered to depend on whether couplings of the reaction are dimensionful or not. Here we give a rather simple example teaching us this feature. Let us consider the ϕ^3 theory with mass m in the n-dimensional spacetimes. The action reads

$$S = \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(m^2 - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R \right) \phi^2 - \frac{1}{3!} \lambda \Lambda^{3-n/2} \phi^3 \right], \tag{77}$$

where we have introduced a mass parameter Λ. Hence the coupling constant λ is dimensionless. In $n \leq 6$ the vertex is merely a renormalizable interaction. Meanwhile in $n > 6$, the interaction is not renormalizable and then Λ means some cutoff scale of the theory. Transition probability of a particle decay with conformal momentum p is straightforwardly given in the tree level as

$$\sum |S|^2 = (2\pi)^{2(n-1)} \lambda^2 \Lambda^{6-n} \int d^{n-1} q \left| \int dt a^{3-n/2} u_q^* u_{|\vec{p}-\vec{q}|}^* u_p \right|^2 .$$

It is turned out soon that this expression itself converges for arbitrary fixed p. However taking $p \to \infty$, this grows up to infinity for $n > 6$. This comes from the fact that the vertex is nonrenormalizable for $n > 6$. However there exists no trouble in the real universe because p does not take infinite value but that of order the universe temperature. Thus in the following argument we treat p finite but large compared with m and the Hubble parameter. Thus the probability is also finite. To get a useful lower bound of the probability in $p \gg m$, we again pick up only contribution from the phase space region with $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_o \ll 1$ and $p\epsilon \leq q \leq p(1-\epsilon)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |S|^2 \geq W &= (2\pi)^{2(n-1)} \lambda^2 \Lambda^{6-n} \frac{2\pi^{n/2-1}}{\Gamma\left(n/2-1\right)} \\ &\times \int_{p\epsilon}^{p(1-\epsilon)} dq q^{n-2} \int_0^{\theta_o} d\theta \sin^{n-3}\theta \left| \int dt a^{3-n/2} u_q^* u_{|\vec{p}-\vec{q}|}^* u_p \right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

For $n \leq 6$ other phase space contributions vanishes in the high momentum limit and W gives us the probability itself, not merely a lower bound.

Here assuming that $p\epsilon \gg m$, we can use the WKB wavefunctions for both in- and out- mode functions. Replacing the integral variables like

$$\begin{aligned} q &= py \qquad (78) \\ \theta &= \frac{m}{p} z \qquad (79) \\ t &= \frac{2p}{m^2} \eta, \qquad (80) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \lambda^2 \left(\frac{m}{\Lambda}\right)^{n-6} \frac{\pi^{n/2-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}\Gamma\left(n/2-1\right)} \int_\epsilon^{1-\epsilon} dy \frac{y^{n-3}}{1-y} \int_0^{\theta_o \frac{p}{m}} dz z^{n-3} \\ &\times \left| \int d\eta a^{3-n/2} e^{i \int d\eta \left(a^2 \frac{1}{y} + \frac{yz^2+a^2}{1-y} - a^2 \right)} \right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

By imposing $m^2/p \ll \omega_{min}^{(3-n/2)}$ the replacement $a = b\Theta(-\eta) + \Theta(\eta)$ is again validated. Then the integration with respect to η can be easily performed and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \lambda^2 \left(\frac{m}{\Lambda}\right)^{n-6} \frac{\pi^{n/2-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}\Gamma\left(n/2-1\right)} \int_\epsilon^{1-\epsilon} dy y^{n-5} (1-y) \\ &\times \int_0^{\theta_o \frac{p}{m}} dz z^{n-3} \left[\frac{1}{z^2+C} - \frac{b^{3-n/2}}{z^2+b^2 C} \right]^2 , \qquad (81) \end{aligned}$$

where $C = A(m; m, m, y) = (1 - y + y^2)/y^2$.

Unfortunately for $n = 2$ this expression is not suitable and we must calculate separately. However the estimation is also possible analytically and it results in just

$$W(n = 2) = 0.$$

From $n = 3$ to $n = 6$ we can take $p \to \infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$ in eqn (81). The results are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
W(n = 3) &= \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi}\left(\frac{4}{3} - \ln 3\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda}{m}\right)^3\left[1 - \frac{2\sqrt{b}}{1 + b}\right]. \\
W(n = 4) &= \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi^2}\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\arctan\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - 1\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda}{m}\right)^2\left[1 + \frac{b}{1 - b^2}\ln b^2\right]. \\
W(n = 5) &= \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^2}\left(\frac{5}{4}\ln 3 - 1\right)\frac{\Lambda}{m}\left[1 - \frac{2\sqrt{b}}{1 + b}\right]. \\
W(n = 6) &= \frac{\lambda^2}{384\pi^3}\left[\frac{1 + b^2}{1 - b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2} - 2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that all these expressions are invariant under a transformation $b \to 1/b$.

As mentioned previously W diverges for $n > 6$ in $p \to \infty$. Keeping $\omega_{min}^{(3-n/2)} > m^2/p$ in mind, a lower bound with $\theta_o = m/p$ is obtained from eqn (81). The explicit form with $b \sim 0$ is as follows.

$$W(n > 6, b \sim 0) \geq \frac{\pi^{n/2-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}\Gamma\left(n/2 - 1\right)}\frac{\lambda^2}{(n - 3)(n - 4)(n - 6)}\left(\frac{m}{\Lambda b}\right)^{n-6}.$$

Therefore after when the universe expands enough to satisfy

$$1/b \sim O\left(\lambda^{-\frac{2}{n-6}}\frac{\Lambda}{m}\right),$$

the particle gets decayed via the geometric bremsstrahlung.

After all we arrive at a conclusion that in spite of the high temperature of the universe the transition probability due to the Yukawa geometric bremsstrahlung does not vanish even in the n-dimensional spacetimes except $n = 2$.

6. Decay Process including Massive Gauge Field

In this section we shall survey decay processes including a massive vector particle A_μ with mass μ.

Let us first consider that this particle interact with fermions Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 with mass m_1 and m_2. The interaction term can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Psi\Psi A} &= g\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\bar{\Psi}_1(c_V + c_A\gamma^5)\gamma^\mu\Psi_2 A_\mu + c.c \\ &= g\int d^4x\bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_1(c_V + c_A\gamma^5)\gamma^a\tilde{\Psi}_2\tilde{A}_a + c.c \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

where $A_\mu = e^a_\mu A_a = a\delta^a_\mu A_a$ and $A_a = a^{-1}\tilde{A}_a$. In the high momentum limit we can use again the WKB approximation in the section 2. This enables us to calculate W explicitly.

Let us consider first decay of a transverse component of A_μ possessing conformal momentum p into Ψ_1 and $\bar{\Psi}_2$ particles. Just as the Yukawa interaction case, we only take account of contribution from restricted phase space region as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & W_T\left(h, \bar{h}\right) \\ = \; & \lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}\int_{p\epsilon}^{p(1-\epsilon)} dk\frac{k}{p(p-k)}\int_0^{\theta_o} d\theta\theta \\ & \times\left|\int dt\bar{U}_1(h, \vec{p}-\vec{k}, a)(c_V + c_A\gamma^5)\gamma^\mu V_2(\bar{h}, \vec{k}, a)\epsilon^{(T)}_\mu(\vec{p}, a)e^{-i\int dt\left(\frac{\mu^2a^2}{2p} - \frac{pk\theta^2 + m_1^2a^2}{2(p-k)} - \frac{m_2^2a^2}{2k}\right)}\right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\epsilon^{(\pm)}_\mu(\vec{p}, a) = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[0, 1, \pm i, 0]. \tag{83}$$

For any combination of $(h, \bar{h})$, it can be straightforwardly shown that W_T converges in $p \to \infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$. After a straightforward manipulation we obtain the following results.

$$W_T(h = 1, \bar{h} = 1) = \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2}\left(1 + \frac{b}{1-b^2}\ln b^2\right)H(m_1, m_2, \mu),$$

where

$$H = \int_0^1 dy \frac{|c_V + c_A|^2 m_1^2 y^2 + |c_V - c_A|^2 m_2^2 (1-y)^2 + 2(|c_V|^2 - |c_A|^2) m_1 m_2 y(1-y)}{m_1^2 y + m_2^2 (1-y) - \mu^2 y(1-y)}.$$

$$W_T(h=-1, \bar{h}=-1) = 0. \tag{84}$$

$$W_T(h=1, \bar{h}=-1) = \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} |c_V - c_A|^2 \left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2} \ln \frac{1}{b^2} - 2 \right].$$

$$W_T(h=-1, \bar{h}=1) = \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} |c_V + c_A|^2 \left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2} \ln \frac{1}{b^2} - 2 \right].$$

To get W for longitudinal component decay is achieved by taking the photon helicity vector as

$$\epsilon_\mu^{(L)} \sim \frac{k}{\mu a} [1, 0, 0, -1]. \tag{85}$$

After some manipulation W_L is in fact converged with $h = 1$ and $\bar{h} = -1$ as

$$W_L(h=1, \bar{h}=-1) = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{b \ln b^2}{1-b^2} \right] K(m_1, m_2, \mu, c_V, c_A),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & K(m_1, m_2, \mu, c_V, c_A) \\ = & \int_0^1 dy \frac{y(1-y)}{m_1^2 y + m_2^2 (1-y) - \mu^2 y(1-y)} \\ & \times \left| \frac{c_V(m_1 - m_2) - c_A(m_1 + m_2)}{\mu} m_1 \sqrt{\frac{y}{1-y}} \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{c_V(m_1 - m_2) + c_A(m_1 + m_2)}{\mu} m_2 \sqrt{\frac{1-y}{y}} \\ & \quad \left. - 2(c_V + c_A) \mu \sqrt{y(1-y)} \right|^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

$$W_L(h=-1,\bar{h}=1)=\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2}\left[1+\frac{b\ln b^2}{1-b^2}\right]K(m_1,m_2,\mu,c_V,-c_A). \tag{87}$$

While $W(h=\pm 1,\bar{h}=\pm 1)$ is given as

$$W_L(h=\pm 1,\bar{h}=\pm 1) \;=\; \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}\frac{|c_V(m_1-m_2)\pm c_A(m_1+m_2)|^2}{\mu^2}\left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2}-2\right].$$

It is also possible to estimate W when a Ψ_1 particle with momentum p and helicity 1/2 decays into a Ψ_2 particle and a A_μ particle. Substituting the wavefunction forms in the section 2 into W it is written down explicitly for emission of transverse component such that

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\Psi_1}(h_i;h_f,T) \\ = \; & \lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}\int_{p\epsilon}^{p(1-\epsilon)}dk\frac{k}{p(p-k)}\int_0^{\theta_o}d\theta\theta \\ & \times\left|\int dt\bar{U}_2(h_f,\vec{p}-\vec{k},a)(c_V+c_A\gamma^5)\gamma^\mu U_1(h_i,\vec{p},a)\epsilon_\mu^{*(T)}(\vec{k},a)e^{i\int dt\Delta E}\right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta E=\frac{\mu^2a^2}{2k}+\frac{pk\theta^2+m_2^2a^2}{2(p-k)}-\frac{m_1^2a^2}{2p}.$$

For cases with $h_i=1$ and $h_f=1$ our WKB results include an infra divergence.

$$W_\Psi(1;1,1)=\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2}|c_V-c_A|^2\left(\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2}-2\right)\int_\epsilon^1\frac{dy}{y}. \tag{88}$$

$$W_\Psi(1;1,-1)=\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2}|c_V-c_A|^2\left(\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2}-2\right)\int_\epsilon^1\frac{dy}{y}(1-y)^2. \tag{89}$$

In spite of the appearance in eqns (88) and (89) of infra-red divergence with respect to $p\epsilon$, we believe that exact form of the probability converges as a result of the existence of natural infra-red cut off μ. This cut off works only in the low momentum region where the WKB approximation is invalid. Because we have discussed only a case where the WKB approximation is valid, our treatment is supposed to include such a superficial infra-red divergence. From this point of view, it can be naively expected that $\epsilon \sim \mu/p$.

However if μ is exactly zero, no natural infra-red cut off appear. This infra-red divergence really exists owing to the existence of the soft particle. The number of soft particles is counted only to the accuracy of observations. Thus for very soft particles one cannot discriminate between virtual emission which contributes particle mass operator and real emission. This enables us to add a part of the mass operator contribution to the emission probability. Then the theory is hoped to have no real infra-red divergence in physical interpretation, similarly to the flat spacetime case[4].

For other cases of transverse emission, W is converged and final results are given by

$$W_{\Psi_1}(1;-1,1) = \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2}\left[1+\frac{b}{1-b^2}\ln b^2\right]R(m_1,m_2,\mu), \tag{90}$$

where

$$R = \int_0^1 dy \frac{y(|c_V-c_A|^2 m_2^2 - 2(|c_V|^2-|c_A|^2)m_1 m_2(1-y) + |c_V+c_A|^2 m_1^2(1-y)^2)}{m_2^2 y + \mu^2(1-y) - m_1^2 y(1-y)}.$$

$$W_{\Psi_1}(1;-1,-1) = 0.$$

For the emission of longitudinal component we get

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\Psi_1}(h_i;h_f,L) \\ = \; & \lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}\int_{p\epsilon}^{p(1-\epsilon)} dk \frac{k}{p(p-k)}\int_0^{\theta_o} d\theta\theta \\ & \times\left|\int dt\bar{U}_2(h_f,\vec{p}-\vec{k},a)(c_V+c_A\gamma^5)\gamma^\mu U_1(h_i,\vec{p},a)\epsilon_\mu^{*(L)}(\vec{k},a)e^{i\int dt(\Delta E+\frac{1}{2k}\left(\frac{da}{adt}\right)^2}\right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $W_{\Psi_1}(1:1,L)$ possesses an infra-red divergence with respect to $\epsilon \to 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\Psi_1}(1;1,L) \\ & = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2}\left(1+\frac{b\ln b^2}{1-b^2}\right)Q(m_1,m_2,\mu), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \int_\epsilon^1 dy \frac{y(1-y)}{\mu^2(1-y)+m_2^2 y - m_1^2 y(1-y)} \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{c_V(m_1-m_2)+c_A(m_1+m_2)}{\mu} \frac{m_2}{\sqrt{1-y}} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{c_V(m_1-m_2)-c_A(m_1+m_2)}{\mu} m_1\sqrt{1-y} \\ &\quad \left. -2(c_V-c_A)\mu\frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y} \right|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

and it is expected that $\epsilon \sim \mu/p$.

Finally it can be shown that $W_\Psi(1;-1,L)$ converges and takes the following form.

$$W_\Psi(1;-1,L) = \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}\left|\frac{c_V(m_1-m_2)-c_A(m_1+m_2)}{\mu}\right|^2\left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2}-2\right].$$

Let us next comment on a three point interacting between the massive vector field with mass μ and complex scalar fields φ_1 and φ_2 with mass m_1 and m_2 . The interaction is described by

$$S_{\bar\varphi\varphi A} = g\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}A_\mu i\left(\bar\varphi_1\nabla^\mu\varphi_2 - \nabla^\mu\bar\varphi_1\varphi_2\right) + c.c..$$

We can also give in this case explicit forms of W in each process as follows.

For decay of the transverse component ,

$$W^{(T)} = \frac{g^2}{48\pi^2}\left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2}\ln\frac{1}{b^2}-2\right].$$

For the longitudinal component,

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(L)} = \quad & \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2\mu^2}\left(1+\frac{b\ln b^2}{1-b^2}\right) \\ & \times\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dx(1-4x^2)\frac{(m_1^2-m_2^2)^2+4\mu^2(m_1^2-m_2^2)+4\mu^4x^2}{2m_1^2+2m_2^2-\mu^2+4(m_1^2-m_2^2)x+4\mu^2x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For transverse vector particle emission from a scalar particle,

$$W^{(T)}_{\varphi_1} = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \ln\frac{1}{\delta} \left[\frac{1+b^2}{1-b^2} \ln\frac{1}{b^2} - 2 \right].$$

For longitudinal vector particle emission out of a scalar particle,

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(L)}_{\varphi_1} &= \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2\mu^2} \left(1 + \frac{b\ln b^2}{1-b^2}\right) \int_\delta^1 \frac{dy}{y} \frac{(1-y)[(m_1^2 - m_2^2)y + (2-y)\mu^2]^2}{\mu^2(1-y) + m_2^2 y - m_1^2 y(1-y)} \\ &\sim \frac{g^2}{2\pi^2} \ln\frac{1}{\delta} \left(1 + \frac{b\ln b^2}{1-b^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus probability of geometric bremsstrahlung does not vanish, even including the vector field.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank T. Futamase for valuable discussion. Also we wish to thank R. Blankenbecler and S. Drell for fruitful discussion about multiple scattering effect to geometric bremsstrahlung in highly densed matter.

The work of M.H. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Science Research from the Ministry of Education (No.08740185).

References

[1] T. Futamase, M. Hotta, H. Inoue and M. Yamaguchi,
Geometric bremsstrahlung in the Early Universe,
to appear in Prog.Theor.Phys. , Vol 96, No1 (1996).

[2] One can see a standard review of the early universe from the viewpoint of the high energy particle physics, for example, in
E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe*(Addison-Wesley, 1990).

[3] N.D.Birrell, Ph.D Thesis, King's College, London, (1979).
N.D.Birrell and J.G.Taylor, *J.Math.Phys.*(N.Y.)**21**,1740(1980).
N.D.Birrell and P.C.W.Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, 1982).

[4] F. Bloch and A. Nordsieck, *Phys.Rev.***52**, 54(1937).